

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

-- -- -- -- -- x  
:  
In Re: :  
:  
WARFIELD HISTORIC : Case No. 24-12500  
PROPERTIES, LLC., : (Chapter 11)  
:  
Debtor. :  
:  
-- -- -- -- -- x August 28, 2024  
  
Greenbelt, Maryland

**VIRTUAL ORAL RULING**

**[44]** Motion to Sell and Notice of Motion filed  
by Debtor Warfield Properties, LLC, Jointly Administered  
Debtor Warfield Center, LLC, Jointly Administered Debtor  
Warfield Historic Quad, LLC, Jointly Administered Debtor  
Warfield Properties, LLC, Jointly Administered Debtor Warfield  
Restoration, LLC,

**[57]** Objection filed by Creditor The Town of Sykesville,

**[78]** Exhibit/Witness List filed by Creditor  
The Town of Sykesville,

**[79]** Exhibit/Witness List filed by Creditor  
The Town of Sykesville,

**[82]** Response filed by Debtor Warfield Historic  
Properties, LLC,

**[83]** Exhibit/Witness List filed by Debtor Warfield  
Historic Properties, LLC, Jointly Administered  
Debtor Warfield Center, LLC, Jointly Administered  
Debtor Warfield Historic Quad, LLC, Jointly Administered  
Debtor Warfield Properties, LLC, Jointly Administered  
Debtor Warfield Restoration, LLC,

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript  
produced by transcription service.

nm

2

[84] Exhibit/Witness List filed by Debtor Warfield  
Historic Properties, LLC, Jointly Administered  
Debtor Warfield Center, LLC, Jointly Administered  
Debtor Warfield Historic Quad, LLC, Jointly Administered  
Debtor Warfield Properties, LLC, Jointly Administered  
Debtor Warfield Restoration, LLC,

[86] Objection filed by Creditor The Town of Sykesville.

BEFORE: THE HONORABLE LORI S. SIMPSON, Judge

APPEARANCES:

MICHAEL J. LICHTENSTEIN, ESQ.  
REBEKAH F. PARADIS, ESQ.  
Schulman Rogers, P.A.  
12505 Park Potomac Avenue  
Sixth Floor  
Potomac, Maryland 20854  
On Behalf of the Debtor

BRADLEY J. SWALLOW, ESQ.  
LEE RAUCH, ESQ.  
ALLISA LAZAN, ESQ.  
Funk & Bolton, P.A.  
100 Light Street  
Suite 1400  
Baltimore, Maryland 21202  
On Behalf of The Town of  
Sykesville

Audio Operator:

Gloria Bellman

Transcription Company:

CompuScribe  
P.O. Box 789  
Cheltenham, Maryland 20623  
(301) 577-5882

nm

3

1                                P R O C E E D I N G S

2                (Whereupon, at 10:02 a.m., the proceeding began.)

3                THE CLERK: Silence, please, and come to order. The  
4 United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Maryland is  
5 now in session. The Honorable Lori S. Simpson, presiding over  
6 this video conference hearing.

7                On the ten o'clock docket, the case of Warfield  
8 Historic Properties, LLC and Warfield Restorations, LLC, case  
9 number 24-12500.

10              Counsel, please identify yourself and your client  
11 for the record beginning with the Debtor, followed by all  
12 other counsel.

13              MR. LICHTENSTEIN: Good morning, Your Honor.  
14 Michael Lichtenstein and Rebekah Paradis for the Debtor. And  
15 we have Roger Conley and Steve McCleaf on the phone, too.

16              THE COURT: Good morning to all of you.

17              MR. SWALLOW: Good morning, Your Honor. Bradley  
18 Swallow, counsel for the Town of Sykesville. My co-counsel,  
19 Steve Rauch and Allisa Lazan\* are on with us as is mayor,  
20 Stacy Link and Joe Cosentini, town manager.

21              THE COURT: Good morning to all of you.

22              All right, so we are here for the Court's decision.  
23 On August 5, 2024, the parties came before the Court for an  
24 evidentiary hearing on the Debtor's motion for order approving  
25 sale of real property free and clear of all liens, claims,

nm

4

1 interests, and encumbrances, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section  
2 363(b) and 363(f), docket number 44.

3 The Town of Sykesville filed an objection at docket  
4 number 57 and the Debtor, Warfield Center, LLC, filed a reply  
5 at docket number 82. Following closing arguments on August  
6 the 8th, the Court took the matter under advisement.

7 For the reasons that follow, the Court finds and  
8 concludes that the motion to sell should be granted. The  
9 following constitutes the Court's findings of fact and  
10 conclusions of law in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil  
11 Procedure 52 made applicable by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy  
12 Procedures 7052 and 9014(c).

13 In the motion to sell, Defendant seeks approval of  
14 the sale of the property of the estate free and clear of liens  
15 pursuant to Section 363(b) and (f). Debtor proposes to sell a  
16 3.42 acre parcel of unimproved land on Maryland Route 32 in  
17 Sykesville, Maryland, which I will refer to as "the property."

18 The sales price is 5,250,000. The property is among  
19 several parcels that Debtor's predecessor in interest  
20 purchased from the Town several years ago. At the time of  
21 purchase, the Town informed Debtor that the property was part  
22 of a planned employment center district with various use  
23 restrictions and which was intended to have a mix of office,  
24 commercial, and residential usage.

25 At the time, Debtor's stated intention was to

nm

5

1 develop the property as an employment center, including  
2 office, light industrial, other business space, together with  
3 retail and residential space. Debtor now seeks to sell the  
4 property to an unrelated third party, Warfield Senior Housing  
5 G.P., LLC, who intends to develop the property into a senior  
6 housing project.

7           To that end, the buyer is afforded an almost two-  
8 year period within which it may seek conditional use approval  
9 from the Board of Zoning Appeals, approval from the Planning  
10 Commission, and the Historic District Commission of the  
11 preliminary site plan and a fully approved and recorded final  
12 site plan.

13           The contract provides that the buyer, who will make  
14 a \$100,000 deposit shortly after the Court's approval of the  
15 contract, and an additional deposit of 100,000 shortly before  
16 buyer -- shortly after buyer obtains the various government  
17 approvals.

18           The Town holds the lien against the property in the  
19 approximate amount of \$1,100,000. The Town objects the  
20 Debtor's sale of the property arguing that the sale is  
21 unlikely to close and will delay a realistic disposition of  
22 the property for nearly two years.

23           Section 363(b) and 1107(a) authorize debtors in  
24 possession to sell property of the estate outside of the  
25 ordinary course of business. To obtain court approval, the

nm

6

1 sale must be in the best interest of the estate, In re:  
2 Pleasantview Swimming Pool Association, Inc., 2007 Westlaw,  
3 1063014, Bankruptcy, District of Maryland, March 2007. The  
4 factors the Court must find for approval of a sale are a sound  
5 business reason justifying the sale, adequate and reasonable  
6 notice of the sale to all parties, that the sale had been  
7 proposed in good faith, and the purchase price is fair and  
8 reasonable.

9 Rose v. Logan, 2014 Westlaw, 1236008, District of  
10 Maryland, March 25, 2014. Here, the town's objection to the  
11 sale focuses primarily on whether debtor has a sound business  
12 reason justifying the sale. The Town does not dispute and the  
13 Court finds that Debtor gave adequate and reasonable notice of  
14 the proposed sale to all parties in interest. The Town does  
15 not claim that the purchase price, in isolation, is not fair  
16 and reasonable, and the Court finds that it is.

17 As to the Debtor's good faith, the Town also does  
18 not call it into question independently, but raises it in  
19 connection with its primary argument that Debtor lacks a sound  
20 business reason for the sale.

21 At the hearing, Debtor's manager, Steven McCleaf  
22 testified reliably and credibly regarding, among other things,  
23 the contract, the buyer, Debtor's marketing efforts, his  
24 experience in commercial real estate development, and Debtor's  
25 relationship with the Town.

nm

7

1           Additionally, the Court heard testimony from the  
2 Town's manager, Joe Cosentini, regarding the Warfield Project  
3 and the planned employment center zoning. Debtor and/or its  
4 predecessor in interest have expended considerable effort  
5 marketing the property for years through traditional as well  
6 as more creative means, including hiring a realtor,  
7 maintaining a marketing website, posting on social media, and  
8 mining professional relationships. Debtor has actively and  
9 adequately marketed the property.

10           In spite of its effort, Debtor has, until relatively  
11 recently, had little apparent success in finding a well suited  
12 buyer. Debtor's efforts were ultimately rewarded by obtaining  
13 a substantial offer from the buyer of more than \$5 million,  
14 more than three times the value of the Town's lien, and all  
15 other claims against the estate.

16           The buyer has a long road to hoe before it will be  
17 willing and able to close on the proposed sale of the  
18 property.

19           However, this Court need not split hairs determining  
20 the exact probability of buyer's success in obtaining all  
21 necessary government approvals to proceed on its planned  
22 development of the property. It is sufficient that Debtor has  
23 a sound business reason for pursuing this path. And the Court  
24 finds that it does.

25           Meeting zoning approvals or even changes, is not out

nm

8

1 of the ordinary to commercial real estate development.  
2 Neither buyer -- neither Debtor nor buyer is unaware of the  
3 hurdles that buyer must clear over the next year or two. In  
4 fact, most of the hurdles are laid out within the contract  
5 itself. The buyer is not merely stringing Debtor and Town  
6 along over two years. The buyer will be expending significant  
7 effort -- in capitals -- in hopes of closing on this sale.

8           Buyer is poised to spend at least a million  
9 performing due diligence and seeking necessary approvals to  
10 move forward with its purchase of the property. To be sure  
11 there is a risk the buyer will not succeed in obtaining the  
12 necessary government approvals.

13           However, business ventures always entail calculated  
14 risk, and commercial real estate development is no different.

15           It does not appear that Debtor is seeking to  
16 unfairly shift risk from itself or the buyer onto the Town or  
17 other creditors. Nothing before the Court suggests that the  
18 Town or other creditors of the estate will be subject to any  
19 harm or substantial risk of loss over the two-year due  
20 diligence period. Debtor's risk in accepting the contract is  
21 reasonable and Debtor has a sound good faith business reason  
22 for doing so.

23           Even Mr. Cosentini testified that he did not know  
24 whether the Town would approve or disapprove the buyer's  
25 proposal given the sales price and the extent to which it



nm

9

1 exceeds the Town's lien as well as all claims against the  
2 estate, it is reasonable to assume the Debtor could sell the  
3 property at a discount rate with little to no due diligence  
4 period or other contingencies, would still pay all  
5 claims in full.

6           However, Debtor is not obliged to sell the property  
7 as quickly as possible, and Mr. McCleaf articulated rational  
8 sound business reasons for accepting this contract.

9           Section 363(f) allows for sales in the estate of  
10 property free and clear of liens and encumbrances only if  
11 certain circumstances are satisfied. Whereas here, the  
12 interesting question is a lien, the Debtor in possession, may  
13 sell the property free and clear of such lien if the price at  
14 which such property is to be sold is greater than the  
15 aggregate value of all liens on such property.

16           Here, the sales price is significantly greater than,  
17 not only, the Town's lien, but also all claims against the  
18 bankruptcy estate. As such, the Court finds and concludes the  
19 Debtor's proposed sale free and clear of liens under Section  
20 363(f) is approved. The Town's lien will attach to the  
21 proceeds. And as requested in the motion, the stay pursuant  
22 to 6004(h) is lifted.

23           And I would ask Mr. Lichtenstein to prepare an order  
24 consistent with this ruling.

25           MR. LICHTENSTEIN: Will do, Your Honor. Thank you.

nm

10

1 THE COURT: Thank you and I appreciate all your time  
2 and effort. And I will see you all at the next hearing.

3 MR. SWALLOW: Thank you, Your Honor.

4 MR. LICHTENSTEIN: Thank you, Your --

5 MR. RAUCH: Thank you, Your Honor.

6 MR. LICHTENSTEIN: Thank you.

7 MR. SWALLOW: Next --

8 THE CLERK: We are adjourned.

9 (Whereupon, at 10:12 p.m., the proceeding was concluded.)

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 KEYNOTE: "\*" indicates phonetic spelling in the transcript.

nm

11

C E R T I F I C A T E

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the provided electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

/s/ Noemy Martinez 10/28/2024  
Noemy Martinez Date  
Transcriber, CompuScribe